

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

AF/Gp/3

P-3925-1 10-4-00 there of

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

MARTIN CULLEN

FOR:

TILE WET SAW WITH OUTWARDLY DIVERGING CUTTING MODE

SERIAL NO.:

09/864,350

FILED:

May 25, 2001

EXAMINER:

Maurina T. Rachuba, Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In the above captioned application, an amended claim, as noted on Advisory

Action annexed hereto as Exhibit A, was refused entry.

In a subsequent request for consideration, as noted on Advisory Action annexed hereto as Exhibit B, the request for consideration was considered. It was not noted however whether the consideration did or did not include entering of record the amended claim.

Applicant earnestly believed that it did enter of record the amended claim and accordingly filed a Notice of Appeal and the requisite fee of \$160.

It was subsequently disclosed by the examiner it was not her intention to enter the amended claim as the claim on appeal, and thus the appeal was of no value to the applicant and will not be pursued.

06/18/2002 SSITHIB1 00000122 011174 09864350 01 FC:219 160.00 CH

Same As Raper # 10

Under the circumstances, it is respectfully requested that the \$160 fee paid be deposited to applicant's attorney's deposit account of 01-1174

Respectfully,

MYRON AMER, P.C. Attorney for Applicant

By:

114 Old Country Road Suite 310 Mineola, NY 11501 (516) 742-5290

Dated: June 5, 2002

Reg. No. 18,650

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on June 5, 2002.

Ja Gra Rickick

Dated: June 5, 2002

Patents\3925-1.ROA2

			\mathcal{M}			
OIP		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
JUN 1 3 2002 Advisory Action	COPY OF PAPEI ORIGINALLY FIL	R g 9/864,350	CULLEN, MARTIN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
-		M-Rachuba	. 3723			
HADEMAThe MAILING DATE of this con	•					
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2002 FAIL Therefore, further action by the applica final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Examination (RCE) in compliance with	nt is required to a only be either: (d Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of th (1) a timely filed amendm	ent which places the application in			
		EPLY [check either a) or	b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months f	rom the mailing date of	of the final rejection.				
event, however, will the statutory period ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 have been filled is the date for purposes of determine the control of the	for reply expire later the FIRST REPLY WAS CFR 1.136(a). The dring the period of extern date of the shortene fice later than three managers.	han SIX MONTHS from the mail FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH ate on which the petition under 3 nsion and the corresponding amount of statutory period for reply origin	forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension	Appellant on thereof (37 Cf	t's Brief must be filed with FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	nin the period set forth in missal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claim NOTE:	ms without cance	eling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome t	he following reje	ction(s):	ECEIV JUN 26 700 MA			
canceling the non-allowable clai	m(s).		ed in a separate, timen filed ameniment			
application in condition for allow	/ance because: <u>s</u>	ee attached .	een considered but des NOT place the			
6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT raised by the Examiner in the fi	nal rejection.	r				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	deration:		disapproved by the Evaminer			
			disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information [Disclosure Stater	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	er NO(S)			
10. Other:						
			M Rachuba Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723			

7410	7		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
6.7		COBY 0= =	00/004 250	CULLEN, MARTIN		
N 1 3 2000 \$	Advisory Action	COPY OF PA ORIGINALLY	APERS	Art Unit		
			M Rachuba	3723		
DEMARK The M	AILING DATE of this comm	unication appe	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of th						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appear						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other:	10. Other: See Continuation Sheet					
				M Rachuba Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723		
LLC Cotest and Tradema	1.0%					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)